P.R. No. 5817/05
Presented by samirsingh
dated 9/11/05

(8)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION. NO. 6166 OF 2005

BETWEEN

1. Sunil Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Govind Prasad Sharma, aged about 42 years, resident of Priya Darshini Nagar , Raipur (CG).
2. M.D.Naik S/o Shri Thakur Ram Naik, aged about 48 years , resident of Jawahar Nagar , behind Rajan School, Akaltara, District Janjgir Champa.
3. Rajiv Patel S/o Shri Laxmi Pate, aged about 48 years, resident of Opposite Sonkawal Bhawan, Dipupara, Tara Bahar, Bilaspur (CG)..
4. Somendranath Pal S/o Shri Ram C.Pal aged about 44 years, resident of Anuradha Niwas, Raja Talab, Govind Nagar, Raipur.
5. Avni Kumar Viswal S/o Shri N.Viswal, aged about 37 years, r/o Plot No.4, Street 1B Sector B, Panchsheel Society, Borsi, Durg (CG).
6. Paresh Kumar Trivedi S/o Shri K.K.Trivedi aged about 42 years, resident of Jawahar Nagar, Raipur (CG).
7. Raj Kumar Jambulkar S/o Shri Daya Ram Jambulkar aged about 40 years, resident of Ambedakar Ward 7, Kamlapara Dongargharh (CG).
8. Shiv kumar Soni S/o Shri Ram Lal Soni, aged about 37 years, resident of Purani Basti Raipur (CG).

RECEIVED COPY 9/11/05 H.C. BILASPUR

PETITIONERS

AND

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary Department of Woman and Child Development , Mantralay, D.K.S. Building, Raipur (CG)

2. The Director, Directorate of Woman and Child Development, Chhattisgarh, Old Nurses Hostel, Secretariat premises , Raipur, Tahsil and District Raipur (CG).

3. The Deputy Director, Directorate of Woman and Child Development, Chhattisgarh, Old Nurses Hostel, Secretariat premises , Raipur, Tahsil and District Raipur (CG).

RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर (45)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 6166/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

12-12-2005

Smt. Renu Kochar, counsel for the petitioners.

Shri Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Adv. for State, on advance copy.

Application for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have not been given promotions. They have filed several representations i.e. Annexures-P/3 to P/6 and P/8 which have not been considered.

Counsel for the State submits that for the present the petitioners may file the detailed representation before the competent authority of the respondents which will be considered and decided in accordance with law.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioners to make a detailed representation raising their grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order within three weeks from today and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within three months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance remains, the petitioners may avail the remedy available under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

H/-

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

[पीछे देखिये